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1. Introduction

Pressure ulcers are painful and debilitating and, if left untreated, can lead to serious harm and death (National Patient Safety Agency, (NPSA) 2010; Whitlock et al, 2011). Every year up to 20% of patients in acute care in England and Wales are affected by pressure ulcers. Since 2005, the NPSA has received around 75,000 reports of patient safety incidents relating to pressure ulcers, yet a growing body of evidence suggests these are largely preventable (NPSA, 2010).

The costs of treating a pressure ulcer are estimated to range from £43 to £374 daily with hospital-acquired pressure ulcers increasing the length of stay by an average of five to eight days per pressure ulcer (Bennett, Dealey and Posnett, 2012). In Wales pressure ulcers affected 8.9% of all in hospital patients (Clark, Semple, Irvin et al, 2017).

Extensive work through initiatives such as 1000 Lives Plus and Fundamentals of Care has helped raise the profile of pressure damage and driven the development of rigorous and practical ways of recording and preventing pressure ulcer incidents. Initiatives such as SKIN bundles were introduced in Wales in 2009 through Transforming Care and aimed to improve patient care by reducing pressure ulcers. However, when pressure damage unfortunately occurs, the learning from such an incident must be effective if the risk to further patients suffering the same harm is to be reduced. The All Wales Tissue Viability Nurses Forum (AWTVNF), the All Wales Adult Protection Co-ordinators in Health and Social Care collaborated to determine a standardised approach to pressure ulcer reporting and investigation in order to safeguard individuals accessing health and social care in Wales.

The initial guidelines were adapted from the Tissue Viability Society’s guidance ‘Achieving Consensus in Pressure Ulcer Reporting’ (TVS, 2012).


This updated guidance have been developed and agreed by the All Wales Tissue Viability Nurses Forum, the All Wales Adult Protection Co-ordinators in Health and Social Care and the all Health Boards/Trust Patient Safety and DATIX teams.

This guidance should be read in conjunction with the following documents:

- *The Essential Elements of Pressure Ulcer Prevention and Management - All Wales Guidance* (AWTVNF, 2017)

- Local Pressure Ulcer Prevention and Management Guidelines


2. Purpose

This document applies to all NHS Trusts and Health Boards in Wales and aims to:

- Promote consistency and guide performance reporting against Welsh Government targets for zero tolerance to pressure damage
- Provide guidance on when pressure damage should be considered for referral into safeguarding processes
- Facilitate effective learning through the development of standardised investigation processes to reduce the risk of further patients suffering the same harm

3. Scope

These guidelines have been developed for use within all NHS Trusts and Health Boards in Wales. These organisations are required to ensure that care services within commissioned services also meet the requirements set out in this guidance. Further, as a result of revisions to the Regulation and Inspection of Social Care (Wales) Act 2016, a Regulation 60 notification will be required to be completed for residents with pressure injuries in the Care Home sector from April 2018. The adoption of these guidelines is therefore advocated in the Care Home sector.

4. Background

A survey (AWTVNF, 2012) across Wales with representation from seven NHS Health Boards found that although most pressure damage incidents occurring in Welsh hospitals were being recorded through the Care Metrics Module and DATIX systems, there was no standardised Root Cause Analysis/Investigation tool in use throughout Wales or consistent agreement on thresholds for adult safeguarding referrals relating to pressure damage at that time.

Since implementation of the 2014 pressure damage investigation and reporting guidance the following documents have been introduced which this updated guidance aims to incorporate to ensure consistency in reporting and investigating pressure damage.

The ‘Flynn report - In search of accountability. A review of the neglect of older people living in care homes investigated as Operation Jasmine’ had clear recommendations in relation to pressure damage (Welsh Government, 2015).
(http://gov.wales/topics/health/publications/socialcare/reports/accountability/?lang=en)

The ‘Health and Care Standards’ came into force from 1 April 2015 (Welsh Government 2015) incorporating a revision of the ‘Doing Well, Doing Better: Standards for Health Services in Wales (2010)’ and the ‘Fundamentals of Care Standards (2003)’. The Health and Care Standards sets out the Welsh Government’s common framework of standards to support the NHS and partner organisations in providing effective, timely and quality services across all healthcare settings including commission services. Standard 2.2 Preventing Pressure and Tissue Damage details “People are helped to look after their
skin and every effort is made to prevent people from developing pressure and tissue damage”. [http://www.wales.nhs.uk/governance-emanual/theme-2-safe-care](http://www.wales.nhs.uk/governance-emanual/theme-2-safe-care)

Each Health Board and Trust has an obligation to report healthcare acquired pressure damage to Welsh Government. A Regulation 60 notification will be required to be completed for those residents acquiring/being admitted with pressure damage in the Care Home sector.

Throughout all Welsh HNS Health Boards/Trust, staff are obliged to report all pressure damage incident. Currently Datix is the software in use in organisations in NHS Wales through which electronic incident forms are completed at the time of the development of this guidance. Therefore, Datix is referred to throughout the document.

5. Definitions

The National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel, European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel and Pan Pacific Pressure Injury Alliance (NPUAP/EPUAP/PPPIA, 2014) definition should be used to describe any pressure ulcer.

A Pressure Ulcer is defined as:

“A pressure ulcer is localized injury to the skin and/or underlying tissue usually over a bony prominence, as a result of pressure, or pressure in combination with shear. A number of contributing or confounding factors are also associated with pressure ulcers; the significance of these factors is yet to be elucidated.”

(NPUAP/EPUAP/PPPIA, 2014)

A Moisture Lesion is defined as:

“Moisture lesions, moisture ulcers, perineal dermatitis, diaper dermatitis and incontinence associated dermatitis (IAD) all refer to skin damage caused by excessive moisture by urine and/or faeces being in continuous contact with intact skin of the perineum, buttocks, groins, inner thighs, natal cleft.”

(Ousey et al, 2012)

“Moisture lesions may develop slough if infection present.”

(www.pressureulcer.scot)

An Avoidable Pressure Ulcer is defined as:

“**Avoidable**” means that the person receiving care developed a pressure ulcer and the provider of care did not do one of the following: evaluate the person’s clinical condition and pressure ulcer risk factors; plan and implement interventions that are consistent with the person’s needs and goals and recognised standards of practice; monitor and evaluate the impact of the interventions; or revise the interventions as appropriate.”

(Department of Health/ National Patient Safety Agency, 2010)
An Unavoidable Pressure Ulcer is defined as:

“Unavoidable” means that the individual receiving care developed a pressure ulcer even though the provider of the care had evaluated the person’s clinical condition and pressure ulcer risk factors; planned and implemented interventions that are consistent with the person’s needs and goals and recognised standards of practice; monitored and evaluated the impact of the interventions; and revised the approaches as appropriate; or the individual person refused to adhere to prevention strategies in spite of education of the consequences of non-adherence”

(Department of Health/ National Patient Safety Agency, 2010)

6. Identification of Pressure Ulcers and DATIX Incident Reporting

6.1 Pressure Damage Classification

The pressure damage classification as set out in the Essential Elements of Pressure Ulcer Prevention and Management (AWTVNF, 2017) should be used during assessment of the individual (Appendix 1). In addition, the following categories should be used:

(NPUAP/EPUAP/PPPIA) (2014) additional categories.

Unstageable/Unclassified: Full thickness skin or tissue loss – depth unknown

Full thickness tissue loss in which the base of the ulcer is covered by slough (yellow, tan, gray, green or brown) and/or eschar (tan, brown or black) in the wound bed. Until enough slough and/or eschar is removed to expose the base of the wound, the true depth, and therefore Category/Stage, cannot be determined*. Stable (dry, adherent, intact without erythema or fluctuance) eschar on the heels serves as ‘the body’s natural (biological) cover’ and should not be removed.

*It should be noted that Unstageable pressure damage would be expected to evolve to be either a grade/category 3 or 4 (AWTVNF, 2017)

Suspected Deep Tissue Injury (SDTI) – depth unknown

Purple or maroon localized area of discoloured intact skin or blood-filled blister due to damage of underlying soft tissue from pressure and/or shear. The area may be preceded by tissue that is painful, firm, mushy, boggy, warmer or cooler as compared to adjacent tissue. Deep tissue injury may be difficult to detect in individuals with dark skin tones. Evolution may include a thin blister over a dark wound bed. The wound may further evolve and become covered by thin eschar. Evolution may be rapid exposing additional layers of tissue even with optimal treatment.
6.2 Identification of Pressure Damage

- All levels of skin damage as a result of pressure/shear/or a combination of both must be recorded in the patients healthcare record and reported on Datix

- Skin damage determined to be as a result of incontinence and/or moisture alone, should **not** be recorded as a pressure ulcer and should be referred to as a moisture lesion to distinguish it and recorded separately as per local policy. A lesion that has been determined as combined, that is, caused by incontinence and/or moisture and pressure must be recorded as a pressure ulcer.

- Whilst moisture damage is not reportable to Welsh Government, this may still be a safeguarding issue. Moisture damage requires investigation, to ensure that all appropriate assessments e.g. continence assessment; the provision of aids and preventative treatments have been care planned, provided and evaluated to mitigate the risk of damage. It may be deemed neglectful if gaps are found in this process.

- Skin damage that is determined to be as a result of pressure from a device, such as from casts or ventilator tubing and masks must be recorded as device related pressure damage.

- Avoidable and unavoidable pressure damage should be differentiated and reported. If skin damage has been deemed to be unavoidable the rationale for this is to be included in the investigation report. For standardised reporting purposes in Wales, the Department of Health (2010) definition (above) for avoidable/unavoidable pressure ulcers must be used.

6.3 Reporting Pressure Damage on DATIX: Process to be followed once pressure damage is confirmed.

- As soon as pressure damage is identified immediate action should be taken to reduce the patient’s risk of further damage and to optimise healing. A record of this action must be documented.

- The All Wales Algorithm template for Reporting and Investigating Pressure Damage must be followed for all pressure damage (Appendix 2). This template may need to be adapted by Health Boards/NHS Trusts to reflect local practice.

- If the pressure damage is healthcare acquired, the person who has identified it must complete a DATIX report as per the organisation’s policy.

- Unless the category of the pressure damage has changed, duplication of reporting the same damage should be avoided.

- If the patient was transferred from another clinical area within the same Health Board with the pressure damage, the person in charge of the originating area must be notified and they are responsible for investigating the pressure damage and recording the outcome of this on DATIX.
• If the pressure damage is community acquired, e.g. person’s own home, a nursing or residential home, the health care professional who identified it must complete a DATIX report in accordance with the organisation’s policy. A social care employee or third sector employee may alert a health care professional to pressure damage and in these circumstances, the health care professional must complete the DATIX report.

• The patient and/or next of kin should be notified of the pressure damage and be fully engaged in the action that is required to ensure the patient’s safety by preventing further damage and aiding healing. This must be documented in patient records.

• If there is concern regarding the patient’s engagement in their care to prevent pressure damage, an assessment of the patient’s mental capacity to make such decisions should be undertaken. Appropriate advice should be sought if there are concerns about the patient’s mental capacity to make decisions.

6.4 Reporting Pressure Damage in Care Homes

• In the Care Home (Nursing Home) sector, residents with Category/Grade 3, 4 and/or Unstageable pressure damage should be reported in line with the Regulation and Inspection of Social Care (Wales) Act 2016. A Regulation 60 notification will be required to be completed.

• An investigation should be conducted in order to establish whether the pressure damage was avoidable or unavoidable. It is advised that the Pressure Ulcer Investigation Tool is used to conduct this investigation.

7. Safeguarding

The Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act (2014) has 11 parts. Part 7 relates to safeguarding. The provision in part 7 requires Local Authorities to investigate where they suspect that an adult or child is at risk of abuse or neglect.

Section 126 (1) of the Act defines an “Adult at Risk” as an adult who:

(a) Is experiencing or is at risk of abuse or neglect;

(b) Has needs for care and support whether or not the authority is meeting any of those needs;

and ..........

(c) As a result of those needs is unable to protect him or herself against the abuse or neglect or the risk of it
**Section 130 (4)** of the Act defines “a Child at Risk” as a child who:

(a) Is experiencing or is at risk of abuse, neglect or other kinds of harm;  
   and ...........

(b) Has needs for care and support (whether or not the authority is meeting any of those needs)

**Section 197** of the Act provides a definition of neglect:

‘Neglect’ means a failure to meet a person’s basic physical, emotional, social or psychological needs, which is likely to result in an impairment of a person’s well-being (for example, impairment of the person’s health or, in the case of a child, an impairment of the child’s development).”

The Act imposes a **duty** on relevant partners, (which will include Health Boards and Trusts) to report to a Local Authority if there is reasonable cause to suspect that an adult or child is at risk.

7.1 **Screening for Safeguarding**

Screening for safeguarding differs across Wales and each Health Board/Trust should have local agreement for this process.

7.2 **Referral to Safeguarding**

- Health Boards and Trusts must have in place local arrangements for reviewing and investigating acquired pressure damage. The principles of the review process and screening for safeguarding must be applied by the identified healthcare professional, e.g. Lead Nurse/Senior Nurse/Matron/equivalent.

- Early discussion with the NHS Organisation’s Safeguarding Lead or Team is advised.

8. **Investigation of Pressure Damage**

- Every DATIX report requires a level of investigation. However, as a minimum requirement all category/grade 2, 3, 4, Unstageable and Suspected Deep Tissue Injury pressure ulcers, should be investigated using the All Wales Review Tool for Pressure Damage Investigation (Appendix 3)

- Each Health Board/Trust may choose to use the All Wales Device Related Pressure Ulcer Investigation Tool (Appendix 4) in conjunction with the All Wales Review Tool for Pressure Damage Investigation to aid investigation in relation to device related pressure damage

- The tool(s) must be completed within the specified timescale as per local arrangements to ensure that there is no delay in the organisation meeting its
statutory duty to report an “adult or child at risk” as required under the Social Services and Wellbeing (Wales) Act (2014).

- Use of the tool(s) will facilitate detailed examination of whether the appropriate pressure ulcer prevention strategy was employed prior to the pressure damage occurring and highlight what learning needs to take place in order for similar incidents to be prevented.

- If there is uncertainty about when the pressure damage occurred, it may be deemed appropriate for the identifying nursing team and the nursing team or caring team that had previously been responsible for the patient’s care to carry out the investigation collaboratively.

- If the patient from community has had no health or social care involvement and has developed pressure damage, then discussion with the Health Board / Trust safeguarding team should be considered, highlighting the patient’s potential vulnerability.

- Communication following patient transfer between Welsh Health Boards/Trusts about specific incidents of pressure damage can be facilitated through use of the All Wales Pressure/Moisture Damage Passport (Appendix. 5).

- Health Boards/Trust need to ensure that systems are in place to share learning outcomes throughout the organisation so that similar causal and contributory factors are not repeated in different clinical areas.

- If previously reported and investigated pressure damage deteriorates a further investigation must be completed, to determine cause of deterioration.

9. Scrutiny and Governance of Reporting and Investigation Process

- Each Health Board/Trust will undertake a degree of scrutiny of each pressure damage incidence to determine causal factors and lessons to be learnt.

- The outcome of this scrutiny will determine whether the pressure damage is avoidable or unavoidable.

- Avoidable Category/Grade 3, 4 and/or unstageable pressure damage that developed when patients was in receipt of Welsh NHS funded care will require a serious incident report to be submitted (see section 9.)

- Each Health Board/Trust will have their own Terms of Reference for the scrutiny and governance process, however it would be anticipated that gold standard would be an interdisciplinary approach.
10. **Serious Incident (SI) Reporting**

- A Serious Incident (SI) should be submitted to Welsh Government (WG) for all individuals with **avoidable** Category/Grade 3, 4 and/or Unstageable pressure damage who are in receipt of Welsh NHS funded health care.

- Notification to Welsh Government of a SI is no longer required.

- The SI closure form should be submitted once the investigation is complete, within 60 working days from date of incident reporting on DATIX.

- The SI closure form must include evidence of the outcome and learning from the investigation.

- An SI submission will consist of an investigation of the damage using the All Wales Pressure Damage Review tool and closure form submitted to WG.

- Information regarding why the damage was determined as avoidable must be reported via the closure form as per agreed individual Health Board/NHS Trust policy.

- A Regulation 60 notification must be completed and submitted to Care Inspectorate Wales (CIW) for all individuals with Category/Grade 3, 4 and/or Unstageable pressure damage living in the Care Home Sector. Residents in receipt of Residential Care will be governed by the NHS process.

- In complex situation across Health Board’s and NHS Trusts joint investigations are encouraged to ensure that lessons are learnt across Wales.
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INTERNATIONAL NPUAP/EPUAP PRESSURE ULCER CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM 2014

A pressure ulcer is localized injury to the skin and/or underlying tissue usually over a bony prominence, as a result of pressure, or pressure in combination with shear. A number of contributing or confounding factors are also associated with pressure ulcers; the significance of these factors is yet to be elucidated.

**Category/Stage 1: Non-blanchable Erythema**

Intact skin with non-blanchable redness of a localized area over a bony prominence. Darkly pigmented skin may not have visible blanching; its colour may differ from the surrounding area. The area may be painful, firm, soft, warmer or cooler as compared to adjacent tissue.

**Category/Stage 2: Partial Thickness Skin Loss**

Partial thickness loss of dermis presenting as a shallow open ulcer with a red pink wound bed, without slough. Also presents as an intact or open/ruptured serum-filled blister. Presents as a shiny or dry shallow ulcer without slough or bruising.* This Category should not be used to describe skin tears, tape burns, perineal dermatitis, maceration or excoriation.

*Bruising indicates suspected deep tissue injury.

**Category/Stage 3: Full Thickness Skin Loss**

Full thickness tissue loss. Subcutaneous fat may be visible but bone, tendon or muscle are not. Slough may be present but does not obscure the depth of tissue loss. May include undermining and tunneling. The depth of a Category/Stage III pressure ulcer varies by anatomical location. The bridge of the nose, ear, occiput and malleolus do not have subcutaneous tissue and Category/Stage III ulcers can be shallow. In contrast, areas of significant adiposity can develop extremely deep Category/Stage III pressure ulcers.

**Category/Stage 4: Full Thickness Tissue Loss**

Full thickness tissue loss with exposed bone, tendon or muscle. Slough or eschar may be present on some parts of the wound bed. Often include undermining and tunnelling. The depth of a Category/Stage IV pressure ulcer varies by anatomical location. The bridge of the nose, ear, occiput and malleolus do not have subcutaneous tissue and these ulcers can be shallow. Osteomyelitis possible.

**Unstageable: Depth Unknown**

Obscured full-thickness skin and tissue loss Full-thickness skin and tissue loss in which the extent of tissue damage within the ulcer cannot be confirmed because it is obscured by slough or eschar. If slough or eschar is removed, a Category 3 or Category 4 pressure injury will be revealed. Stable eschar (dry, intact) on the heel or ischemic limb should not be softened or removed.

**Suspected Deep Tissue Injury: Depth Unknown**

Purple or maroon localized area of discoloured intact skin or blood-filled blister due to damage of underlying soft tissue from pressure and/or shear. The area may be preceded by tissue that is painful, firm, mushy, boggy, warmer or cooler as compared to adjacent tissue. Deep tissue injury may be difficult to detect in individuals with dark skin tones. Evolution may include a thin blister over a dark wound bed; the wound may further evolve and become covered by thin eschar.
**All Wales Algorithm for Reporting & Investigating Pressure Damage**

**Did the pressure damage develop in your clinical area/case load?**
- **Yes**
  - **Record:** on DATIX reporting system stating your incident location
  - **Complete:** PU Passport

**Developed in another clinical area**
- **No**
  - **Has a DATIX incident already been submitted for this damage?**
    - **Yes**
      - **Record:** on DATIX reporting system stating your incident location
      - **Complete:** PU Passport
    - **No**
      - **Record:** on DATIX stating care environment where damage occurred
      - **Develop Complete AW Pressure Ulcer Investigation Tool for category/grade 2, 3, 4, Unstageable & SDTI pressure ulcers by area identified as origin of damage. Attach to DATIX incident.**

**Is the pressure damage develop in a hospital within the same Health Board?**
- **Yes**
  - **Record:** on DATIX reporting system stating care environment where damage occurred
  - **Direct incident to care environment where damage occurred for investigation complete a PU passport**

**Has the patient had any input from health or social care prior to pressure damage occurring?**
- **Yes**
  - **Record:** on DATAX stating care environment where damage occurred
  - **Grade 3, 4 or Unstageable ulcers – complete and summit Regulation 60 notification to Care Inspectorate Wales**
- **No**
  - **Record:** on DATIX reporting system stating the transferring Health Board/NHS Trust
  - **Datix team to notify other HB/Trust to initiate investigation**

**Has a patient been transferred with pressure damage from another Health Board/NHS Trust?**
- **Yes**
  - **Record:** on DATIX reporting system stating the transferring Health Board/NHS Trust
  - **Record:** on DATIX stating your incident location
  - **Complete:** PU Passport

**AW Pressure Ulcer Investigation Tool identified pressure damage as AVOIDABLE?**
- **Yes**
  - **Complete the Datix fields and close the incident in line with local process**

**AW Pressure Ulcer Investigation Tool identified pressure damage as UNAVOIDABLE?**
- **Complete the Datix fields and close the incident in line with local process**

**Refer to Safeguarding Team - following local procedure**
- **Grade 3, 4 & Unstageable ulcers Complete SI Closure & Consider Redress**

* Multiple means more than 1

This algorithm may be adapted to reflect local NHS Health Board/Trust needs.

Appendix 2
All Wales Review Tool for Pressure Damage Investigation

Complete one form for each newly developed pressure ulcer. The investigation to be performed by relevant team where pressure damage occurred

**All boxes must be completed**

Datix incident reference No:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE PATIENT</th>
<th>DETAILS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient’s Name:</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOB:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of care environment where damage occurred e.g. Ward; Hospital; Patient’s Home; care home.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>How long has the individual been in this care environment i.e. days, weeks, months, years?</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis and outline of care needs /services</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You will require the individual's healthcare records and your local Pressure Ulcer Policy for completion of this document. This review tool is to examine all documentation in place up to pressure damage identification and at least the preceding 72 hours before damage occurred.

1. Details of the pressure damage you are investigating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When the ulcer was first identified? <em>(State date and time).</em></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Anatomical site of the pressure ulcer?** *Please state bony prominence and location on body.*

**Grade/Category * of the pressure ulcer?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this pressure ulcer device related e.g. Cast; Oxygen tubing; Catheter; etc.?</th>
<th><strong>YES / NO</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If YES complete Device Related Investigation Tool

3, 4, Unstageable or Multiple pressure ulcers = SCREENING FOR SAFEGUARDING REQUIRED

2. Is there documented evidence of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A pressure ulcer risk assessment was completed within 6 hours of admission to hospital/care setting or 1st visit in the community?</th>
<th><strong>YES / NO</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Risk assessment score completed correctly? *i.e. reflective of clinical condition and added up correctly.*

<p>| The skin was assessed for pressure damage within 6 hours of admission to hospital/care setting or 1st visit in the community? | <strong>YES / NO</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>YES / NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Was an individualised pressure ulcer prevention care plan developed detailing prevention interventions?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List all equipment used to reduce pressure ulcer risk prior to the pressure ulcer being identified.</td>
<td>List Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the above mentioned equipment provided appropriate for the patients need?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there evidence of on-going skin inspection in relation to care plan and Health Board/NHS Trust Policy?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there evidence that planned repositioning was carried out without gaps 72 hours before identification of damage? e.g. SKIN Bundle; Repositioning Charts/Turning Charts; Carer’s Log (community) /Intentional Rounding Chart. etc.</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there evidence that pressure ulcer risk assessment was regularly reviewed as per Health Board/NHS Trust policy?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was this care plan reviewed in response to deterioration in patient’s condition? e.g. Skin condition; acute episode.</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List actions taken to further reduce risk of pressure ulceration once damage was identified. e.g. more frequent skin inspection &amp; repositioning; floating heels; reduced sitting times.</td>
<td>List Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did the patient at the time of pressure damage occurrence have capacity to make decisions regarding their pressure damage prevention plan?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was patient/carer/family agreeable with the pressure ulcer prevention plan? If not agreeable - Give reasons why &amp; actions taken to address this.</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there evidence that the nutritional assessment was acted on?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. ORGANISATIONAL FACTORS

#### DETAILS

**Explain any issues relating to Equipment: i.e. lack of understanding of how to use equipment; delays in equipment provision; failure of pressure ulcer equipment.**

**WHAT ACTION DID YOU TAKE TO REDUCE THIS RISK?**

Free text

**During the period of the incident and 72 hrs beforehand, were the nurse staffing levels maintained? i.e. the number of staff on duty were as per the planned roster**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES / NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**If no, did the failure to maintain the nurse staffing level contribute to the ulcer/any harm to the patient?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES / NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**i.e. What was the planned roster and what was the actual roster? What action was taken to rectify any deficits? Were agency staff involved in the incident?**

---

*Pressure Ulcer Reporting and Investigation - All Wales Guidance Final Version 4 June 2018*
### How many pressure ulcers have developed in this clinical area or on this case load in the last 3 months?

Free text

### 4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**DETAILS**

List factors/events that you have not already mentioned that you think relevant to why this patient’s pressure damage developed i.e. time spent on ED trolley / ambulance/ floor.

Free text

### 5. What do you think the main cause(s) of this pressure ulcer was? **Include all contributory patient & organisational factors**.

Free text

### 6. OUTCOME - Was the pressure ulcer AVOIDABLE?

To determine if the pressure damage could have been avoided complete the following.

An outcome of AVOIDABLE means that the person receiving care developed a pressure ulcer & the provider of care cannot evidence that they fulfilled the following:

| IF YOU ANSWER NO TO ANY OF THE BELOW 4 QUESTIONS | Was there evidence of this | EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT YOUR ANSWER
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The pressure ulcer is considered AVOIDABLE</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
<td>Risk assessment completed and up to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the individual’s pressure ulcer risk factors identified and regularly reviewed; and skin damage reported as per local policy and guidance?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
<td>Care plans in place; Evidence of timely patient repositioning; Required equipment provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were preventative interventions, consistent with the individual’s needs/goals and recognised standards of practice planned &amp; implemented?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
<td>Intentional rounding; SKIN Bundles; Turning charts up to date. Equipment monitored &amp; faults acted on; External devices monitored &amp; issues acted on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Was the effectiveness of the preventative interventions reliably evaluated &amp; monitored?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
<td>Further actions put in place to reduce pressure: Increase in frequency of repositioning; Appropriate equipment in place in timely manner; Pressure damage reported at source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Were interventions revised &amp; acted on when there was a change to the individual’s clinical or skin condition?</td>
<td>YES / NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Was the pressure ulcer AVOIDABLE?**

**YES / NO**

**Avoidable Grade 3,4, Unstageable Pressure damage = Submission of Serious Incident to WG**

If pressure ulcer was AVOIDABLE - List the Lessons learnt

ADD EXAMPLES Free text

Actions required addressing the lessons to be learnt - *this must be completed to close this review.*

ADD EXAMPLES Free text

How is learning to be shared - *this must be completed to close this review.*

Free text

Name and designation of person completing this form:

Free text

Name and designation of senior person approving the investigation & outcome decision:

Free text

Date form completed:

Free text

07/11/2017 Final Approved All Wales Tissue Viability Nurse Forum Version. 2
### All Wales Device Related Pressure Ulcer Investigation Tool

**THE PATIENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients Name:</th>
<th>DOB:</th>
<th>NHS/Hospital No.:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of care environment e.g. Ward; Hospital; Patients Home; care home.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long has the individual been in this care environment i.e. days, weeks, months, years?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason why individual is receiving care?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please circle relevant answers**

1. **Pressure ulcer Risk assessment score when device pressure damage was identified:**
   - No risk
   - At risk
   - High risk
   - Very high risk

2. **Category of device**
   - Respiratory
   - Immobilisation
   - Anti-embolic
   - Graduated compression
   - Feeding
   - Fixation
   - Probe
   - Tubing
   - Clothing/footwear/Toys

3. **Name of device:**

4. **Is the device removable or able to be re-positioned?**
   - Yes
   - No

5. **If the device is a cast or anti-embolic stocking was patient information provided?**
   - Yes
   - No
   - Not applicable

6. **Was the skin under the device checked following local guidance?**
   - Yes
   - No
   - Unable to observe due to non-removable device

7. **How often was the skin under the device regularly checked and recorded?**
   - 1 – 2 hours
   - 3 – 4 hours
   - 5 – 8 hours
   - 12 hours
   - Daily
   - Other – please state frequency:

8. **Were staff familiar with this type of device?**
   - Yes
   - No – describe how information was sought on management of device below:
9. Was the device the right size or fit for the patient?
   - Yes
   - No

10. Was the device applied correctly following manufacturers guidance?
    - Yes
    - No

11. On application of the device - Did the patient assessment identify any of the following risk factors?
    - Oedema under the device
    - Previous skin damage or trauma under the device
    - Reduced arterial supply to the area under the device
    - Excess skin moisture
    - Patient agitation

   **Describe how the identified risk factors were managed:**

12. What action was taken when the skin damage was identified:
    - Device discontinued
    - Device changed to another or adapted
    - Device/fixator repositioned
    - None

   **Describe action taken:**

13. Was the action successful in preventing further damage?
    - Yes
    - No

14. Was the pressure damage:
    - Avoidable
    - Unavoidable

   *This decision will be validated at scrutiny panel and/or senior nursing team.*
**Pressure /Moisture Damage Passport**

For Transfer of Patients with Pressure /Moisture Damage

This form must be completed in full when patients with existing pressure damage/moisture damage are moving from:

- Your Ward → Other ward same Health Board
- Your hospital → Other hospital same Health Board
- Community/Care Home setting → Hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Details:</th>
<th>Transferred from:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:.........................</td>
<td>Ward/Care Home:.........................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital/NHS No.:...................................</td>
<td>Hospital/GP:...............................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:..................</td>
<td>Health Board: .........................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is this skin damage:**
- Pressure damage [ ]
- Moisture Damage [ ]

**About the Skin Damage:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin of Pressure ulcer/Moisture Damage</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Where the damage occurred</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category/Grade of pressure ulcer/s (do not grade moisture damage)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATIX report reference number</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Investigation of Pressure Ulceration Review Tool completed?</th>
<th>Yes / No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If Pressure damage Avoidable Category/Grade 3, 4 or Unstageable has it been reported as a Serious Incident?</th>
<th>Yes / No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safeguarding Referral?</th>
<th>Yes / No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

[Image of human body showing areas of damage]

*Indicate all areas of damage.*

**Date completed:**

**Signature:**

**Date sent:**

**Signature:**